

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/775,742	GUNGOR ET AL.
	Examiner Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.	Art Unit 1626

All Participants:

Status of Application: 71

(1) Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D. (3) _____
 (2) Burton Rodney (Reg. No. 22,076. (4) _____

Date of Interview: 30 November 2006

Time: 10:55am

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

6-9

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner called Applicant's representative on Thursday, November 30, 2006@10:55am and left a voice mail message concerning claims 6-9. Mr. Rodney returned the Examiner's call @11:57am of same day. The Examiner requested permission to: (1) limit the additional therapeutic agents in claim 6 to those which give examples in the specification; and (2) cancel claims 7-9, which are drawn to a non-elected invention.

SS
12/4/06